arXiv:0709.2941v3 [math.FA] 3 Apr 2008

THE p-HARMONIC BOUNDARY FOR FINITELY GENERATED GROUPS AND THE FIRST REDUCED ℓ^p-COHOMOLOGY

MICHAEL J. PULS

Abstract. Let p be a real number greater than one and let G be a finitely generated, infinite group. In this paper we introduce the p-harmonic boundary of G. We then characterize the vanishing of the first reduced ℓ^p-cohomology of G in terms of the cardinality of this boundary. Some properties of p-harmonic boundaries that are preserved under rough isometries are also given. We also study the relationship between translation invariant linear functionals on a certain difference space of functions on G, the p-harmonic boundary of G and the first reduced ℓ^p-cohomology of G.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finitely generated, infinite group with identity e_G and symmetric generating set S. The set of all complex-valued functions on G will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(G)$, and the set of bounded functions in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ will be denoted by $\ell^\infty(G)$. Recall that $\ell^\infty(G)$ is a normed space under the sup-norm, so for $f \in \ell^\infty(G), \parallel f \parallel_\infty = \sup_{g\in G} |f(g)|$. Let $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{R}$ and set

$$D_p(G) = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(G) \mid \sum_{g\in G} |f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)|^p < \infty \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$$

Observe that the constant functions, which we identify with $\mathbb{C}$, are in $D_p(G)$. We now define a pseudonorm on $D_p(G)$ by

$$\parallel f \parallel = \left(\sum_{s\in S} \sum_{g\in G} |f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

The set $D_p(G)$ is a reflexive Banach space with respect to the following norm

$$\parallel f \parallel_{D_p} = (\parallel f \parallel^p + |f(e_G)|^p)^{1/p}.$$

The norm for $D_p(G)$ depends on the symmetric generating set S, but the underlying topology does not. If $A \subseteq D_p(G)$, then $(\overline{A})_{D_p}$ will denote the closure of A in $D_p(G)$. Let $\ell^p(G)$ be the set that consists of functions on G for which $\sum_{g\in G} |f(g)|^p$ is finite. Observe that $\ell^p(G)$ is contained in $D_p(G)$. The first reduced ℓ^p-cohomology space of G is defined by

$$\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) = D_p(G)/(\overline{\ell^p(G) \oplus \mathbb{C}})_{D_p}.$$

There has been some work done relating various boundaries of G and the nonvanishing of $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G)$. It was shown in Chapter 8, section C2 of [3] (also see [2]) that

---

Date: April, 2, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 43A15; Secondary: 20F65, 31C20, 60J50.

Key words and phrases. p-harmonic boundary, ℓ^p-cohomology, p-harmonic boundary, rough isometry, translation invariant linear functional.

if the ℓ_p-corona of G contains more than one element, then $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$. In [10] it was shown that if there is a Floyd boundary of G containing more than two elements, and if the Floyd admissible function satisfies a certain decay condition, then $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$. However, it is unknown if the converse of either of these two results is true. In this paper we introduce the p-harmonic boundary of a finitely generated group, see Section 2 for the definition, which characterizes the vanishing of $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G)$ in terms of the cardinality of this boundary. We also prove results concerning the p-harmonic boundary and rough isometries. We conclude the paper by giving a link between the p-harmonic boundary of G and continuous translation invariant linear functionals on a certain difference space of functions on G. This paper was inspired by [6]. I would like to thank Peter Linnell for many useful comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

2. Outline of Paper and Statement of Main Results

We begin this section by defining the main object of study in this paper, the p-harmonic boundary. Let $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $BD_p(G)$ be the set of bounded functions in $D_p(G)$. The set $BD_p(G)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\| f \|_{BD_p} = \| f \|_\infty + \| f \|$, where $f \in BD_p(G)$. For $X \subseteq BD_p(G)$, $(\overline{X})_{BD_p}$ will denote the closure of X in $BD_p(G)$. Under the usual operations of pointwise multiplication, addition and scalar multiplication, $BD_p(G)$ is an abelian Banach algebra. A character on $BD_p(G)$ is a nonzero homomorphism from $BD_p(G)$ into the complex numbers. We denote by $Sp(BD_p(G))$ the set of characters on $BD_p(G)$. With respect to the weak $*$-topology, $Sp(BD_p(G))$ is a compact Hausdorff space. The space $Sp(BD_p(G))$ is known as the spectrum of $BD_p(G)$. Let $C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ denote the set of continuous functions on $Sp(BD_p(G))$. For each $f \in BD_p(G)$ a continuous function $\hat{f}$ can be defined on $Sp(BD_p(G))$ by $\hat{f}(\tau) = \tau(f)$. The map $f \to \hat{f}$ is known as the Gelfand transform.

Define a map $i\colon G \to Sp(BD_p(G))$ by $(i(g))(f) = f(g)$. For $g \in G$, define δ_g by $\delta_g(x) = 0$ if $x \neq g$ and $\delta_g(g) = 1$. Let $g, h \in G$ and suppose $i(g) = i(h)$, then $(i(g))(\delta_g) = (i(h))(\delta_g)$ which implies $\delta_g(g) = \delta_g(h)$. Thus i is an injection. If f is a nonzero function in $BD_p(G)$, then there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\hat{f}(i(g)) \neq 0$ since $\hat{f}(i(g)) = f(g)$. Hence $BD_p(G)$ is semisimple. Theorem 4.6 on page 408 of [12] now tells us that $BD_p(G)$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ via the Gelfand transform. Since the Gelfand transform separates points of $Sp(BD_p(G))$ and the constant functions are contained in $BD_p(G)$, the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem yields that $BD_p(G)$ is dense in $C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ with respect to the sup-norm. The following proposition, which is essentially [2, Proposition 1.1], shows that $i(G)$ is dense in $Sp(BD_p(G))$.

Proposition 2.1. *The image of G under i is dense in $Sp(BD_p(G))$.*

Proof. Let K be the closure of the image of i. Suppose that $K \neq Sp(BD_p(G))$. By Urysohn's Lemma there exists a nonzero element $h \in C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ such that $h\,|_K = 0$. Let (f_n) be a sequence in $BD_p(G)$ that converges to h in the sup-norm. So given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number N such that $|\widehat{f_n}(i(g)) - h(i(g))| < \epsilon$ for all $g \in G$ and for all $n > N$. Consequently, $(f_n) \to 0$ in $BD_p(G)$ with respect to the sup-norm. Thus $h = 0$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

When the context is clear we will abuse notation and write G for $i(G)$ and g for $i(g)$. The compact Hausdorff space $Sp(BD_p(G))\backslash G$ is known as the p-Royden boundary of G. When $p = 2$ this is simply known as the Royden boundary of G. Let $\mathbb{C}G$ be the set of functions on G with finite support and let $B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$ denote the set of bounded functions in $(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. Suppose (f_n) is a sequence in $B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$ that converges to a bounded function f in the $BD_p(G)$-norm. It now follows from $\| f - f_n \|_{D_p} \leq \| f - f_n \|_{BD_p}$ that $f \in (\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. Thus $B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$ is closed in $BD_p(G)$ with respect to the $BD_p(G)$-norm. The *p-harmonic boundary* of G is the following subset of the p-Royden boundary

$$\partial_p(G)\colon = \{x \in Sp(BD_p(G))\backslash G \mid \hat{f}(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}\}.$$

In this paper we will use the notation $\#(A)$ to mean the cardinality of a set A.

In Section 3 we prove various results concerning $\partial_p(G)$. With these results in hand we will be able to prove the following characterization concerning the vanishing of $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then* $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$ *if and only if* $\#(\partial_p(G)) > 1$.

We will conclude Section 3 by describing a neighborhood base for the topology on $\partial_p(G)$.

Let X denote the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set S. Thus the vertices of X are elements of G, and $g_1, g_2 \in G$ are joined by an edge if and only if $g_1 = g_2 s^{\pm 1}$ for some generator s. We make X into a metric space by assigning length one to each edge, and defining the distance $d_S(g_1, g_2)$ between any two vertices g_1, g_2 in X to be the length of the shortest path between g_1 and g_2. The metric d_S on X is known as the word metric. For the rest of this paper we will drop the use of the subscript S and $d(g_1, g_2)$ will always denote the distance between g_1 and g_2 in the word metric. We will denote $d(e_G, g)$ by $|g|$ for $g \in G$. From now on we will implicitly assume that all finitely generated groups are equipped with the word metric.

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be metric spaces. A map $\phi\colon X \to Y$ is said to be a *rough isometry* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

1. There exists constants $a \geq 1, b \geq 0$ such that for $x_1, x_2 \in X$
$$\frac{1}{a} d_X(x_1, x_2) - b \leq d_Y(\phi(x_1), \phi(x_2)) \leq a d_X(x_1, x_2) + b$$
2. There exists a positive constant c such that for each $y \in Y$, there exists an $x \in X$ that satisfies $d_Y(\phi(x), y) < c$.

For a rough isometry ϕ there exists a rough isometry $\psi\colon Y \to X$ such that if $x \in X, y \in Y$, then $d_X((\psi \circ \phi)(x), x) \leq a(c + b)$ and $d_Y((\phi \circ \psi)(y), y) \leq c$. The map ψ, which is not unique, is said to be a rough inverse for ϕ. Whenever we refer to a rough inverse to a rough isometry in this paper, it will always satisfy the above conditions. In Section 4 we will prove

Theorem 2.3. *Let* G *and* H *be finitely generated groups. If there is a rough isometry from* G *to* H*, then* $\partial_p(G)$ *is homeomorphic to* $\partial_p(H)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and let $g \in G$. Define

$$\triangle_p f(g)\colon = \sum_{s \in S} |f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)|^{p-2}(f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)).$$

In the case $1 < p < 2$, we make the convention that $|f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)|^{p-2}(f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)) = 0$ if $f(gs^{-1}) = f(g)$. We shall say that f is *p-harmonic* if $f \in D_p(G)$ and $\triangle_p f(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$. Denote the set of p-harmonic functions on G by $HD_p(G)$. The set of bounded functions in $HD_p(G)$ will be denoted by $BHD_p(G)$. Observe that the constant functions are in $BHD_p(G)$. We will conclude Section 4 by proving

Theorem 2.4. *Let G and H be finitely generated, infinite groups. If ϕ is a rough isometry from G to H, then there is a bijection from $BHD_p(G)$ to $BHD_p(H)$.*

Let E be a normed space of functions on G. Let $f \in E$ and let $x \in G$. The right translation of f by x, denoted by f_x, is the function $f_x(g) = f(gx^{-1})$. Assume that if $f \in E$ then $f_x \in E$ for all $x \in G$; that is, that E is right translation invariant. For the rest of this paper translation invariant will mean right translation invariant. We shall say that T is a translation invariant linear functional (TILF) on E if $T(f_x) = T(f)$ for $f \in E$ and $x \in G$. We will use TILFs to denote translation invariant linear functionals. A common question to ask is that if T is a TILF on E, then is T continuous? For background about the problem of automatic continuity see [7, 11, 14, 15]. Define

$$\mathrm{Diff}(E) := \text{ linear span}\{f_x - f \mid f \in E, x \in G\}.$$

It is clear that Diff(E) is contained in the kernel of any TILF on E. In Section 5 we study TILFs on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. In particular we prove

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a finitely generated infinite group and let $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\#(\partial_p(G)) > 1$ if and only if there exists a nonzero continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$.*

It was shown in [13] that if G is nonamenable, then the only TILF on $\ell^p(G)$ is the zero functional. (Consequently every TILF is automatically continuous!). We will conclude Section 5 by showing that this result is not true for $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2 and other results concerning the p-harmonic boundary

In this section we will prove Theorem 2.2. We will conclude this section by describing a base for the topology on $\partial_p(G)$. Before we prove Theorem 2.2 we prove some preliminary results concerning $\partial_p(G)$. We begin with the following lemma that will be needed in the sequel

Lemma 3.1. *If $x \in \partial_p(G)$ and (g_n) is a sequence in G that converges to x, then $|g_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \partial_p(G)$ and suppose $(g_n) \to x$, where (g_n) is a sequence in G. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}$ and define a function χ_B on G by $\chi_B(g) = 1$ if $|g| \le B$ and $\chi_B(g) = 0$ if $|g| > B$. Since χ_B has finite support it is an element of $\mathbb{C}G$. Suppose there exists a real number M such that $|g_n| \le M$ for all n. Then $\widehat{\chi_M}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_M(g_n) = 1$, a contradiction. Thus $|g_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. $\square$

Denote the constant function that always takes the value 1 on G by 1_G.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\partial_p(G) = \emptyset$ if and only if $1_G \in B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\partial_p(G) \neq \emptyset$ and let $x \in \partial_p(G)$. Now $\widehat{1_G}(x) = 0$ because $1_G \in B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. Let (g_n) be a sequence in G that converges to x in $Sp(BD_p(G))$. Then $\widehat{1_G}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \widehat{1_G}(g_n) = 1$, a contradiction. Hence $\partial_p(G) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, suppose that $1_G \notin B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. It is easy to verify that $B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$ is an ideal in $BD_p(G)$. Let M be a maximal ideal in $BD_p(G)$ that contains $B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. Thus there exists $x \in Sp(BD_p(G))$ with $\ker(x) = M$. So $\hat{f}(x) = x(f) = 0$ for all $f \in B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. For each $g \in G$ there exists $f \in \mathbb{C}G$ (in particular δ_g) such that $g(f) = f(g) \neq 0$ which means that x cannot be in G. Hence $\partial_p(G) \neq \emptyset$. □

For the rest of this paper, unless otherwise stated, we will assume that $1_G \notin B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$.

Let f and h be elements in $BD_p(G)$ and let $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\langle \triangle_p h, f\rangle : = \sum_{g\in G}\sum_{s\in S} |h(gs^{-1}) - h(g)|^{p-2}(h(gs^{-1}) - h(g))(\overline{f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)}),$$

where $\overline{f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)}$ denotes the complex conjugate. The above sum exists since $\sum_{g\in G}\sum_{s\in S} ||h(gs^{-1}) - h(g)|^{p-2}(h(gs^{-1}) - h(g))|^q < \infty$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. The following propositions will be needed in the sequel. For their proofs see Section 3 of [9], where similar propositions for $D_p(G)$ were proven.

Proposition 3.3. *Let f_1 and f_2 be functions in $BD_p(G)$. Then $\langle \triangle_p f_1 - \triangle_p f_2, f_1 - f_2\rangle = 0$ if and only if $f_1(gs^{-1}) - f_1(g) = f_2(gs^{-1}) - f_2(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and for all $s \in S$.*

Note that the condition $f_1(gs^{-1}) - f_1(g) = f_2(gs^{-1}) - f_2(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and for all $s \in S$ implies that $(f_1 - f_2)(gs^{-1}) = (f_1 - f_2)(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and for all $s \in S$. Hence $f_1 - f_2$ is constant on G since S generates G. Now let $B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ be the set of bounded functions in $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$. The set $B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ is closed in $BD_p(G)$ with respect to the $BD_p(G)$-norm for the same reason that $B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$ is closed in $BD_p(G)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose $B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p} \neq BD_p(G)$. If $f \in BD_p(G)$, then we can write $f = u + h$, where $u \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ and $h \in BHD_p(G)$. This decomposition is unique up to a constant function.*

Recall that the ℓ^p-norm on $\ell^p(G)$ is given by $\| f \|_p^p = \sum_{g\in G} |f(g)|^p$, where $f \in \ell^p(G)$. We are now ready to prove

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f \in BD_p(G)$. Then $f \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ if and only if $\hat{f}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{C}G$ is dense in $\ell^p(G)$ in the ℓ^p-norm, it follows that $\ell^p(G) \subseteq (\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_p}$. Thus $B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p} = B(\overline{\mathbb{C}G})_{D_P}$. There exists a sequence (f_n) in $\mathbb{C}G$ such that $\| f - f_n \|_{BD_p} \to 0$ because $B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ is closed in $BD_p(G)$ with respect to the $BD_p(G)$-norm. Due to the density of G in $Sp(BD_p(G))$ we see that $\widehat{f_n} \to \hat{f}$ in $C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ with respect to the sup-norm. So if $x \in \partial_p(G)$, then $\hat{f}(x) = 0$ since $\widehat{f_n}(x) = 0$ for all n.

Conversely, suppose $\hat{f}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$. By Proposition 3.4 we can write $f = u + h$, where $u \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ and $h \in BHD_p(G)$. So $\hat{h}(x) = 0$ for all

$x \in \partial_p(G)$ since $\hat{u}(x) = 0$. Now $|h(g)|$ attains its maximum value on G since $|\hat{h}(g)|$ attains its maximum value on $Sp(BD_p(G))$ and G is dense in $Sp(BD_p(G))$. Let $g \in G$ with $|h(g)| \geq |h(a)|$ for all $a \in G$. It follows $h(g) = h(gs^{-1})$ for all $s \in S$ since $\sum_{s\in S} |h(gs^{-1}) - h(g)|^{p-2} h(gs^{-1}) = \sum_{s\in S} |h(gs^{-1}) - h(g)|^{p-2} h(g)$. We now obtain $h(g) = h(a)$ for all $a \in G$ since S generates G. Therefore $h = 0$ and consequently $f = u \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$. □

We now show that a function in $BHD_p(G)$ is uniquely determined by its values on $\partial_p(G)$.

Proposition 3.6. *A function in $BHD_p(G)$ is uniquely determined by its values on $\partial_p(G)$.*

Proof. Let h_1 and h_2 be elements of $BHD_p(G)$ with $\widehat{h_1}(x) = \widehat{h_2}(x)$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$. Since $\widehat{h_1} - \widehat{h_2} = 0$ on $\partial_p(G)$ and $h_1 - h_2 \in BD_p(G), h_1 - h_2 \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ by Theorem 3.5. So there exists a sequence (f_n) in $\ell^p(G)$ that converges to $h_1 - h_2$. Using Proposition 3.4 of [9] we obtain $\langle \triangle_p h_1 - \triangle_p h_2, h_1 - h_2 \rangle = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \triangle_p h_1 - \triangle_p h_2, f_n \rangle = 0$. It now follows from Proposition 3.3 that $h_1 - h_2$ is constant on G. Hence, $h_1 - h_2 = 0$ since $\widehat{h_1}(x) - \widehat{h_2}(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$. □

We now give a characterization of when $BHD_p(G)$ is precisely the constant functions in terms of the cardinality of $\partial_p(G)$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $BHD_p(G) \neq \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $\#(\partial_p(G)) > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\#(\partial_p(G)) = 1$ and that $x \in \partial_p(G)$. Let $h \in BHD_p(G)$. Then $\hat{h}(x) = c$, for some constant c. It now follows from Proposition 3.6 that the function $h(g) = c$ for all $g \in G$ is the only function in $BHD_p(G)$ with $\hat{h}(x) = c$. Hence $BHD_p(G) = \mathbb{C}$.

Conversely, suppose $\#(\partial_p(G)) > 1$. Let $x, y \in \partial_p(G)$ such that $x \neq y$ and pick $f \in BD_p(G)$ that satisfies $x(f) \neq y(f)$. Thus $\hat{f}(x) \neq \hat{f}(y)$. It now follows from Theorem 3.5 that $f \notin B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$. By combining Theorem 3.4 with Theorem 3.5 we obtain an $h \in BHD_p(G)$ with $\hat{h}(z) = \hat{f}(z)$ for all $z \in \partial_p(G)$. Since G is dense in $Sp(BD_p(G))$ there exists sequences (x_n) and (y_n) in G such that $(x_n)(h) \to x(h)$ and $(y_n)(h) \to y(h)$. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} h(x_n) = x(h) \neq y(h) = \lim_{n\to\infty} h(y_n)$. Therefore, h is not constant on G, that is $BHD_p(G) \neq \mathbb{C}$. □

We now prove Theorem 2.2. Suppose $\partial_p(G) = \emptyset$. By Proposition 3.2 there exists a sequence (f_n) in $\mathbb{C}G$ with $\| f_n - 1_G \|_{D_p} \to 0$. It follows that $\| f_n \| \to 0$ and $(f_n(e_G)) \not\to 0$. Thus $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) = 0$ by Theorem 3.2 of [8]. We now assume $\partial_p(G) \neq \emptyset$. It was shown in [9, Theorem 3.5] that $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $HD_p(G) \neq \mathbb{C}$. Let X be the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set S. Each vertex in X has degree $\#(S)$, so by Lemma 4.4 of [5] we have that $BHD_p(G) = \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $HD_p(G) = \mathbb{C}$. Theorem 2.2 now follows from Theorem 3.7.

We will conclude this section by giving a neighborhood base for the topology on $\partial_p(G)$. Let A be a subset of G and define

$$\partial A := \{g \in G \backslash A \mid \text{ there exists } s \in S \text{ with } gs^{-1} \in A\}.$$

Let A be an infinite connected subset of G with $\partial A \neq \emptyset$. The set A is called a *D_p-massive subset* if there exists a nonnegative function $u \in BD_p(G)$ that satisfies the following:

(1) $\triangle_p u(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$
(2) $u(g) = 0$ for $g \in \partial A$
(3) $\sup_{a \in A} u(a) = 1$

A function u that satisfies the above conditions is called an *inner potential* of the D_p-massive subset A. For a D_p-massive subset A of G define $\hat{A}_u = \{\tau \in Sp(BD_p(G)) \mid \hat{u}(\tau) > 0\}$, where u is an inner potential of A that satisfies $u(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G \setminus A$. Set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\hat{A}_u \cap \partial_p(G) \mid A \text{ is a } D_p\text{-massive subset of } G\}.$$

We now show that $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for the topology on $\partial_p(G)$.

Proposition 3.8. *The set $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for the topology on $\partial_p(G)$.*

Proof. Let U be an open subset of $\partial_p(G)$ and let $\tau \in U$. By Urysohn's lemma there exists an $f \in C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ with $f(\tau) = 1$ and $f = 0$ on $\partial_p(G) \setminus U$. Since the Gelfand transform of $BD_p(G)$ is dense in $C(Sp(BD_p(G)))$ with respect to the sup-norm we will assume that $f \in BD_p(G)$. By Proposition 3.4 we can write $f = w + h$, where $w \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ and $h \in BHD_p(G)$. Since $\hat{w} = 0$ on $\partial_p(G)$, we have that $\hat{h}(\tau) = 1$ and $\hat{h} = 0$ on $\partial_p(G) \setminus U$. Also $0 \leq \hat{h}(\sigma) \leq 1$ for all $\sigma \in Sp(BD_p(G))$ by the maximum principle. Fix ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and set $W = \{g \in G \mid h(g) > \epsilon\}$. The set W is a infinite proper subset of G since $\hat{h}$ is nonconstant and continuous. Let A be a component of W that contains a sequence (g_k) that converges to τ. It follows from Theorem 3.14 of [5] that A is infinite. Define a function v on G by

$$v = \frac{h - \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

Let $O_n = \{g \in G \mid |g| < n\}$. By Theorem 3.11 of [5] there exists a p-harmonic function u_n on $O_n \cap A$ that takes the values $\max\{0, v\}$ on $G \setminus (O_n \cap A)$ such that $0 \leq u_n \leq 1$ on $O_n \cap A$. Now $u_n \in BD_p(G)$ since $(O_n \cap A)$ is finite. By passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that the sequence (u_n) converges pointwise to a function u. By Lemma 3.21 of [5] u is p-harmonic on A. Also $v \leq u_n \leq 1$ on O_n so $\sup_{a \in A} u(a) = 1$. Furthermore $u = 0$ on ∂A because $h \leq \epsilon$ on ∂A. Since $h \in BD_p(G)$ it follows that $u \in BD_p(G)$. Thus A is a D_p-massive subset of G with inner potential u.

Define a function u' on G by $u' = u$ on A and $u' = 0$ on $G \setminus A$. Clearly u' is an inner potential for A. We will now show that $\hat{A}_{u'} \cap \partial_p(G)$ is a basic neighborhood of τ contained in U. Recall that A contains a sequence (g_k) that converges to τ. Since $\hat{h}(\tau) = 1$ it follows that $\lim_{k \to \infty} v(g_k) = 1$. Thus $\widehat{u'}(\tau) = 1$ due to $v \leq u \leq 1$. So $\tau \in \hat{A}_{u'} \cap \partial_p(G)$. The proof will be complete once we show that $\hat{A}_{u'} \cap \partial_p(G) \subseteq U$, which we now do. Let $x \in \partial_p(G) \cap \hat{A}_{u'}$ and let (g_k) be a sequence in G that converges to x. For large k we have $\widehat{u'}(g_k) > 0$ since $\widehat{u'}(x) > 0$. It follows that $g_k \in A$ for large k. Therefore, $x \in U$ because $f(x) = \hat{h}(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} h(g_k) \geq \epsilon$. □

4. Proofs of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4

In this section G and H will always be finitely generated groups with symmetric generating sets S and T respectively. We will denote the Cayley graph of G by X_G and the Cayley graph of H by X_H. Let $\phi \colon G \to H$ be a rough isometry and let ϕ^* denote the map from $\ell^\infty(H)$ to $\ell^\infty(G)$ given by $\phi^* f(g) = f(\phi(g))$, where $f \in \ell^\infty(H)$. We start by defining a map $\bar{\phi} \colon \partial_p(G) \to \partial_p(H)$. Let $x \in \partial_p(G)$, then there exists a sequence (g_n) in G such that $(g_n) \to x$. Now $(\phi(g_n))$ is a sequence in the compact Hausdorff space $Sp(BD_p(H))$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that $(\phi(g_n))$ converges to a unique limit y in $Sp(BD_p(H))$. Now define $\bar{\phi}(x) = y$. Before we show that $y \in \partial_p(H)$ and $\bar{\phi}$ is well-defined we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G and H be finitely generated groups. If $\phi\colon G \to H$ is a rough isometry, then*

(a) $\phi^*\colon BD_p(H) \mapsto BD_p(G)$
(b) $\phi^*\colon \ell^p(H) \mapsto \ell^p(G)$
(c) $\phi^*\colon B(\overline{\ell^p(H)})_{D_p} \mapsto B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$.

Proof. We will only prove part (a) since the proofs of parts (b) and (c) are similar. Let $f \in BD_p(H)$. We will now show that $\phi^* f \in BD_p(G)$. Let $g \in G$ and $s \in S$, so g and gs^{-1} are neighbors in X_G but $\phi(gs^{-1})$ and $\phi(g)$ are not necessarily neighbors in X_H. However by the definition of rough isometry there exists constants $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 0$ such that $d_H(\phi(gs^{-1}), \phi(g)) \leq a + b$. Set $h_1 = \phi(g)$ and $h_l = \phi(gs^{-1})$ and let $h_1, \ldots, h_l$ be a path in X_H with length at most $a + b$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi^* f(gs^{-1}) - \phi^* f(g)|^p &= |f(\phi(gs^{-1})) - f(\phi(g))|^p \\ &\leq |a+b|^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} |f(h_{j+1}) - f(h_j)|^p. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The above inequality follows from Jensen's inequality applied to the function x^p for $x > 0$.

Let $h \in H$ and $t \in T$. We now claim that there is at most a finite number of paths in X_H of length at most $a + b$ that contain the edge h, ht^{-1} and have the endpoints $\phi(g)$ and $\phi(gs^{-1})$. To see the claim let U be the set of all elements in G such that the following four distances: $d_H(\phi(g), h), d_H(\phi(g), ht^{-1}), d_H(\phi(gs^{-1}), h)$ and $d_H(\phi(gs^{-1}), ht^{-1})$ are all at most $a + b$. Let g and g' be elements in U. By the triangle inequality, $d_H(\phi(g'), \phi(g)) \leq d_H(\phi(g'), h) + d_H(\phi(g), h)$. It now follows from the definition of rough isometry that $d_G(g', g) \leq 2a^2 + 3ab$. Thus the metric ball $B(g, 2a^2 + 3ab + 1)$ contains U as a subset. Hence the cardinality of U is bounded above by some constant k. Observe that k is independent of h and t. Since $f \in BD_p(H)$ it follows from 4.1 that

$$\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{s \in S} |\phi^* f(gs^{-1}) - \phi^* f(g)|^p \leq |a+b|^{p-1} k \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{t \in T} |f(ht^{-1}) - f(h)|^p < \infty.$$

□

We are now ready to prove

Proposition 4.2. *The map $\bar{\phi}$ is well-defined from $\partial_p(G)$ to $\partial_p(H)$.*

Proof. Let x, y and (g_n) be as above. We start by showing that $y \in \partial_p(H)$. Lemma 3.1 tells us that $|g_n| = d_G(e_G, g_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. The element $\phi(e_G)$ is fixed in H so it follows from the definition of rough isometry that $d_H(\phi(e_G), \phi(g_n)) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus $y \in Sp(BD_p(H)) \setminus H$ since $y = \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(g_n) \notin H$. Let $f \in B(\overline{\ell^p(H)})_{D_p}$ and suppose $\hat{f}(y) \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq \lim_{n\to\infty} f(\phi(g_n)) = \phi^* f(x)$. By part (c) of Lemma 4.1 $\phi^* f \in B(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D_p}$ and Theorem 3.5 says that $\phi^* f(x) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\hat{f}(y) = 0$ for all $f \in B(\overline{\ell^p(H)})_{D_p}$, so $y \in \partial_p(H)$.

We will now show that $\bar{\phi}$ is well-defined. Let (g_n) and (g'_n) be sequences in G that both converge to $x \in \partial_p(G)$. Now suppose that $(\phi(g_n))$ converges to y_1 and $(\phi(g'_n))$ converges to y_2 in $Sp(BD_p(H))$. Assume that $y_1 \neq y_2$ and let $f \in BD_p(H)$ such that $f(y_1) \neq f(y_2)$. By part(a) of Lemma 4.1 $\phi^* f \in BD_p(G)$. Thus

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^* f(g_n) = \phi^* f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi^* f(g'_n)$$

which implies $f(y_1) = f(y_2)$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{\phi}$ is a well-defined map from $\partial_p(G)$ to $\partial_p(H)$. □

The next lemma will be used to show that $\bar{\phi}$ is one-to-one and onto.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\phi\colon G \to H$ be a rough isometry and let ψ be a rough inverse for ϕ. If $f \in D_p(G)$, then $\lim_{|g|\to\infty} |f((\psi\circ\phi)(g)) - f(g)| = 0$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$, since ψ is a rough inverse of ϕ there are non-negative constants a, b and c with $a \geq 1$ such that $d_G((\psi\circ\phi)(g), g) \leq a(c+b)$. Let $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ be a path in X_G of length not more than $a(c+b)$ with $g_1 = g$ and $g_n = (\psi\circ\phi)(g)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} |f((\psi\circ\phi)(g)) - f(g)|^p &= |\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(f(g_{k+1}) - f(g_k))|^p \\ &\leq n^{p-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |f(g_{k+1}) - f(g_k)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

The last sum approaches zero as $|g| \to \infty$ since $f \in D_p(G)$ and $n \leq a(c+b)$. Thus $\lim_{|g|\to\infty} |f((\psi\circ\phi)(g)) - f(g)| = 0$. □

The next proposition shows that $\bar{\phi}$ is a bijection.

Proposition 4.4. *The function $\bar{\phi}$ is a bijection.*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \partial_p(G)$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and let $f \in BD_p(G)$ with $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. There exists sequences (g_n) and (g'_n) in G such that $(g_n) \to x_1$ and $(g'_n) \to x_2$. Now assume that $\bar{\phi}(x_1) = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\phi(g_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty}(\phi(g'_n)) = \bar{\phi}(x_2)$, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} f((\psi\circ\phi)(g_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f((\psi\circ\phi)(g'_n))$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(g_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f(g'_n)$, thus $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, a contradiction. Hence $\bar{\phi}$ is one-to-one.

We now proceed to show that $\bar{\phi}$ is onto. Let $y \in \partial_p(H)$ and let (h_n) be a sequence in H that converges to y. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that there exist an unique x in the compact Hausdorff space $Sp(BD_p(G))$ such that $(\psi(h_n)) \to x$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} |h_n| \to \infty$ it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\psi(h_n)| \to \infty$, so $x \notin G$. Using an argument similar to the first paragraph in the proof of Proposition 4.2 we obtain $x \in \partial_p(G)$. The proof will be complete once we show that $\bar{\phi}(x) = y$. Let $f \in BD_p(H)$. By Lemma 4.3 we see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f((\phi\circ\psi)(h_n)) - f(h_n)| = 0$. Thus $f(\bar{\phi}(x)) = f(y)$ for all $f \in BD_p(H)$. Hence $\bar{\phi}(x) = y$. □

To finish the proof that the bijection $\bar{\phi}$ is a homeomorphism we only need to show that $\bar{\phi}$ is continuous, since both $Sp(BD_p(G))$ and $Sp(BD_p(H))$ are compact Hausdorff spaces. To see that $\bar{\phi}$ is continuous, let V be a basic open neighborhood of $\partial_p(H)$. Then there exists a D_p-massive subset A of H with inner potential v such that $V = \hat{A}_v \cap \partial_p(H)$. We may and do assume that $v = 0$ on $H\backslash A$. Observe that $y \in V$ if and only if $\hat{v}(y) > 0$. By Lemma 4.1 $\phi^* v = v\circ\phi \in BD_p(G)$. Combining Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 we see that there exists an $h \in BHD_p(G)$ such that $h = \hat{v} \circ \bar{\phi}$ on $\partial_p(G)$. Let $x \in \bar{\phi}^{-1}(V)$. Then $h(x) = (v \circ \phi)(x) = \hat{v}(\bar{\phi}(x)) > 0$. Let $U = \{x' \in \partial_p(G) \mid h(x') > \frac{h(x)}{2}\}$. The set U is open since h is continuous on $\partial_p(G)$. Now $\hat{v}(\bar{\phi}(x')) > 0$ for each $x' \in U$, so $\bar{\phi}(x') \in V$, thus $U \subseteq \bar{\phi}^{-1}(V)$. Therefore, $\bar{\phi}^{-1}(V)$ is open and the proof that $\bar{\phi}$ is a homeomorphism is complete.

We will now prove Theorem 2.4. Let ϕ be a rough isometry from G to H and let ψ be a rough inverse to ϕ. Let $h \in BHD_p(G)$. By Lemma 4.1 $h \circ \psi \in BD_p(H)$. Let $\pi(h \circ \psi)$ be the unique element in $BHD_p(H)$ given by Proposition 3.4. We now define a map $\Phi \colon BHD_p(G) \mapsto BHD_p(H)$ by $\Phi(h) = \pi(h \circ \psi)$. Theorem 3.5 implies that $\pi(h \circ \psi)(\bar{\phi}(x)) = (h \circ \psi)(\bar{\phi}(x))$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$, where $\bar{\phi}$ is the homeomorphism from $\partial_p(G)$ to $\partial_p(H)$ defined earlier in this section. Thus $\Phi(h)(\bar{\phi}(x)) = (h \circ \psi)(\bar{\phi}(x)) = h(x)$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$. We can now show that Φ is one-to-one. Let $h_1, h_2 \in BHD_p(G)$ and suppose that $\Phi(h_1) = \Phi(h_2)$. So $\Phi(h_1)(\bar{\phi}(x)) = \Phi(h_2)(\bar{\phi}(x))$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$, which implies $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$. Hence, $h_1 = h_2$ by Proposition 3.6. Thus Φ is one-to-one.

We will now show that Φ is onto. Let $v \in BHD_p(H)$. Then $v \circ \phi \in BD_p(G)$. Let $h = \pi(v \circ \phi)$, where $\pi(v \circ \phi)$ is the unique element in $BHD_p(G)$ given by Proposition 3.4. Let $y \in \partial_p(H)$. Since $h(x) = \pi(v \circ \phi)(x)$ for all $x \in \partial_p(G)$ and $\bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\phi}$ equals the identity on $\partial_p(G)$, we see that $(\Phi(h))(y) = \pi(h \circ \psi)(y) = h(\psi(y)) = v(\phi \circ \psi)(y) = v(y)$. Thus Φ is onto and the proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.

The map Φ is an isomorphism in the case $p = 2$ since $BHD_2(G)$ and $BHD_2(H)$ are linear spaces. However, in general these spaces are not linear if $p \neq 2$.

5. Translation Invariant Linear Functionals

By definition we have the following inclusions:

$$\mathrm{Diff}(\ell^p(G)) \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}(D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \ell^p(G) \subseteq D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}.$$

The set $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ is a Banach space under the norm induced from the pseudonorm on $D_p(G)$. Thus if $[f]$ if a class from $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$, then its norm is given by

$$\| \, [f] \, \|_{D(p)} = \left(\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{s \in S} |f(gs^{-1}) - f(g)|^p \right)^{1/p} .$$

We will write $\| f \|_{D(p)}$ for $\| \, [f] \, \|_{D(p)}$. Now $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)} = D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ if and only if $(\overline{\ell^p(G) \oplus \mathbb{C}})_{D(p)} = D_p(G)$. So $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) = 0$ if and only if $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)} = D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. We begin by proving the following

Lemma 5.1. $(\overline{\mathit{Diff}(D_p(G)/\mathbb{C})})_{D(p)} = (\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \ell^p(G)$. By [15, Lemma 1] there is a sequence (f_n) in $\mathrm{Diff}(\ell^p(G))$ that converges to f in the ℓ^p-norm. It follows from Minkowski's inequality that for $s \in S$, $\| (f - f_n)_s - (f - f_n) \|_p^p = \sum_{g \in G} |f(gs^{-1}) - f_n(gs^{-1}) - (f(g) - f_n(g))|^p \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence $f \in (\overline{\mathrm{Diff}(\ell^p(G))})_{D(p)}$ which implies $\ell^p(G) \subseteq (\overline{\mathrm{Diff}(\ell^p(G))})_{D(p)}$. The result now follows. ☐

We are now ready to prove the following characterization of nonzero continuous TILFs on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. *Then* $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$ *if and only if there exists a nonzero continuous TILF on* $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. If $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$, then $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)} \neq D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. It now follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem that there exists a nonzero continuous linear functional T on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ such that $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)}$ is contained in the kernel of T. Thus T is translation invariant by Lemma 5.1.

Conversely if T is a continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$, then $T(f) = 0$ for all $f \in (\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)}$. So if there exists a nonzero continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$, then $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)} \neq D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. □

Theorem 2.5 now follows by combining Theorems 5.2 and 2.2.

If $h \in D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$, then $\langle \triangle_p h, \cdot \rangle$ is a well-defined continuous linear functional on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ since equivalent functions in $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ differ by a constant. It was shown in Proposition 3.4 of [9] that if $h \in HD_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ and $f \in (\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)}$, then $\langle \triangle_p h, f \rangle = 0$. Consequently, if $h \in HD_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$, then $\langle \triangle_p h, \cdot \rangle$ defines a continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. Thus there are no nonzero continuous TILFs on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ when $HD_p(G)$ only contains the constant functions.

If $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) = 0$, then $(\overline{\ell^p(G)})_{D(p)} = D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. It is known that $\ell^p(G)$ is closed in $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ if and only if G is nonamenable, [4, Corollary 1]. As was mentioned in Section 2, if G is nonamenable, then zero is the only TILF on $\ell^p(G)$. Consequently zero is the only TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ when G is nonamenable and $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) = 0$. Summing up we obtain:

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be an infinite, finitely generated group and let $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. The following are equivalent*

(1) $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) = 0$
(2) *Either* $\partial_p(G) = \emptyset$ *or* $\#(\partial_p(G)) = 1$
(3) $HD_p(G) = \mathbb{C}$
(4) $BHD_p(G) = \mathbb{C}$
(5) *The only continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ is zero*
If moreover G is nonamenable, then this is still equivalent to:
(6) *Zero is the only TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$*

We will now give some examples that show zero is not the only $TILF$ on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ when G is nonamenable, this differs from the $\ell^p(G)$ case. It was shown in [9, Corollary 4.3] that $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$ for groups with infinitely many ends and $1 < p \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus by Theorem 5.2 there exists a nonzero continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$. Another question that now arises is: if there is a nonzero continuous TILF on $D_r(G)/\mathbb{C}$ for some nonamenable group G and some real number r, then is it true that there is a nonzero continuous TILF on $D_p(G)/\mathbb{C}$ for all real numbers $p > 1$? The answer to this question is no. To see this let $\mathcal{H}^n$ denote hyperbolic n-space, and suppose G is a group that acts properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{H}^n$ by isometries and that the action is cocompact and free. By combining Theorem 2 of [1] and Theorem 1.1 of [10] we obtain $\bar{H}^1_{(p)}(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $p > n - 1$.

REFERENCES

[1] Marc Bourdon, Florian Martin, and Alain Valette. Vanishing and non-vanishing for the first L^p-cohomology of groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 80:377–389, 2005.

[2] Gábor Elek. The l_p-cohomology and the conformal dimension of hyperbolic cones. *Geom. Dedicata*, 68(3):263–279, 1997.

[3] M. Gromov. Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In *Geometric group theory, Vol. 2 (Sussex, 1991)*, volume 182 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–295. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[4] A. Guichardet. Étude de la l-cohomologie et de la topologie du dual pour les groupes de Lie à radical abélien. *Math. Ann.*, 228(3):215–232, 1977.

[5] Ilkka Holopainen and Paolo M. Soardi. p-harmonic functions on graphs and manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.*, 94(1):95–110, 1997.

[6] Yong Hah Lee. Rough isometry and p-harmonic boundaries of complete Riemannian manifolds. *Potential Anal.*, 23(1):83–97, 2005.

[7] Gary Hosler Meisters. Some problems and results on translation-invariant linear forms. In *Radical Banach algebras and automatic continuity (Long Beach, Calif., 1981)*, volume 975 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 423–444. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[8] Michael J. Puls. Group cohomology and L^p-cohomology of finitely generated groups. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 46(2):268–276, 2003.

[9] Michael J. Puls. The first L^p-cohomology of some finitely generated groups and p-harmonic functions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 237(2):391–401, 2006.

[10] Michael J. Puls. The first L^p-cohomology of some groups with one end. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 88(6):500–506, 2007.

[11] Sadahiro Saeki. Discontinuous translation invariant functionals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 282(1):403–414, 1984.

[12] Angus E. Taylor and David C. Lay. *Introduction to functional analysis*. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co. Inc., Melbourne, FL, second edition, 1986.

[13] G. A. Willis. Translation invariant functionals on $L^p(G)$ when G is not amenable. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 41(2):237–250, 1986.

[14] G. A. Willis. Continuity of translation invariant linear functionals on $C_0(G)$ for certain locally compact groups *G*. *Monatsh. Math.*, 105(2):161–164, 1988.

[15] Gordon S. Woodward. Translation-invariant linear forms on $C_0(G)$, $C(G)$, $L^p(G)$ for noncompact groups. *J. Functional Analysis*, 16:205–220, 1974.

Department of Mathematics, John Jay College-CUNY, 445 West 59th Street, New York, NY 10019, USA

E-mail address: `mpuls@jjay.cuny.edu`